Approved For Release 2002/08/07: CIA-RDP81T009968000100030030-7

	•	CIA INTERNAL USA		
25X1	Attention: Chief, Military Scient	tific Division/PIC	HSD/FIC 20-60 16 February 1960	
	Request for Evaluation	n of CS-3/h23,h20.		
	Reference 19-60 (  1. In response to been prepared.		osed evaluation sheet has	
5X1	2. For further sextension	information please contac	on	25X1
				25X1

25X1

Distribution:

Orig. & 1 - Addressee

1 - CCS 1 - Proj. Folder

1 - NEB Chrono

1 - MSD Chrono

			E	VALUATIN	IG AGENCY			
C8-3/423, 420	DATE OF D	ISTRIB.	6 Jan	. 60	FIELD NUMBE	R		
samon /se	h.d		O 0 4444	• ••	J			
Chief Country USSR (Novosi								
Subject Plants 53 and 80 in Nov	o <b>si</b> birsk	; M11:	itary P	lants	in Novosil	oirsk.		
EVALUATOR: Please complete this valuation time is <b>notionity</b> /standard. Indicate choic "Evaluator's Comments." Normally, put one aspects in your comments. For further NOT	es by "X." χ in each	If it	em marked riate sec	is prin tion. 0	ted in italion	cs, plea	ase explain	under
UBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:	1				MMENTS AND (	DUESTIO		
1. Major	Your	· COMM			curacy of			
2. MODERATE  3. LITTLE  4. NO	char	acter	istics,	loca	ions, etc	of	the plant	8
NFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:								
ONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:	_							
1. SIGNIFICANTLY 2. MODERATELY	1							
3. NEGLIGIBLY	] .							
1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE		EVAL	LUATOR'S	COMMENT	rs and follo	W-UP Q	UESTIONS	
2. CREDIBLE	(4)	<b>19</b> 1 mm	fa 62 c	na an	ana 4			4
3. Doubtful 4. False					are items			
5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.	(c)				or Plants			
1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION	-				ith photog sed by a			
2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION	1	8 CO	acrete	all a	s mentions	ed in	the CS re	port.
3. Sufficiently known	1	Also.	. the u	nderar		4+4.00		- -
,	4	-			ound racil	rrerea	describe	a in
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS		CS re	eport co	annot er fea	be identii tures sucl	led o	n the pho	togre
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION		CS re	eport co	annot er fea	be identii	led o	n the pho	togre
AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION     TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS     Conflicts with previous information	(E)	CS rebut de and de More	eport co the other sail sec details	annot er fea rvice	be identii tures such are visibl he materis	ried on as cole. Le.	n the pho- onveyors, ored or o	togra stac perat
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS  5. Conflicts with previous information 6. Appears to be fabrication  SEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:  1. More detail	(E)	CS rebut sand :  More going	eport of the otherail second details g on at	annot er fea rvice s on t Plant	be identif tures such are visibl he materia s 53 and 6	Med on eas code. Mes code. Mes et Mes et	n the pho onveyors, ored or o	togre stac perat
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS 5. Conflicts with previous information 6. Appears to be fabrication SEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:	(E)	but the sand of th	eport of the othersil ser details y on at	annot er fea rvice s on t Plant is al	be identification be used to be material to be material to be so desired to be desired	ried on a store and a store a	n the pho onvoyors, ored or o ld be valu ny new co	togre stad perat uable
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS  5. Conflicts with previous information 6. Appears to be fabrication  SEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:  1. More detail 2. Less detail, more summary 3. Less fragmentary approach 4. Better organization	(E)	More going Informactive dispersion	eport of the otheralise: details y on at reation vities oped of	nnot er fea rvice s on t Plant is al and th	be identifitures such are visible the materials 53 and 6 so desired amount of	Med on as colors of was	n the pho- onveyors, ored or op ild be valu- ny new con- te materia	togre stad perat uable astru
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS  5. Conflicts with previous information 6. Appears to be fabrication  SEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:  1. More detail 2. Less detail, more summary 3. Less fragmentary approach	(E)	More going Informactive disposer	eport of the otheral set details y on at relation vities a used of aps with	snnot er fea rvice s on t Plant is al and the	be identification of a constitution of a constit	Med of less called a state of less called a s	on the pho- onveyors, ored or of dd be valuated by new con- te material	togra stac peratuable natru al be
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS  5. Conflicts with previous information 6. Appears to be fabrication  SEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH: 1. More detail 2. Less detail, more summary 3. Less fragmentary approach 4. Better organization 5. Better observation or better exploi-	(E)	More going Informactive disposer	eport control the other all second control transfer and control transfer	snnot er fea rvice s on t Plant is al and the	be identifitures such are visible the materials 53 and 6 so desired amount of	Med of less called a state of less called a s	on the pho- onveyors, ored or of dd be valuated by new con- te material	togra stac peratuable natru al be
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS  5. Conflicts with previous information 6. Appears to be fabrication  SEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:  1. More detail 2. Less detail, more summary 3. Less fragmentary approach 4. Better organization  5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.		CS rebut for and regarding for the preparation of t	eport of the othersil serion at details y on at rmation vities a psed of aps with are a moresi.	annot er fea rvice s on t Plant is al and th the core use	be identification of a certal sketce	Med of less called a state of less called a s	on the pho- onveyors, ored or of dd be valuated by new con- te material	togra stac peratuable natru al be
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS  5. Conflicts with previous information 6. Appears to be fabrication SEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:  1. More detail 2. Less detail, more summary 3. Less fragmentary approach 4. Better organization  5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.  6. (STATED IN COMMENT)		CS rebut for and for acting dispersion prepared	eport of the othersil serion at details y on at rmation vities a psed of aps with are a moresi.	snnot er fea rvice s on t Plant is al and the	be identification of a certal sketce	Med of less called a state of less called a s	on the pho- onveyors, ored or of dd be valuated by new con- te material	togra stac peratuable natru al be
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS  5. Conflicts with previous information 6. Appears to be fabrication  SEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH: 1. More detail 2. Less detail, more summary 3. Less fragmentary approach 4. Better organization 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both. 6. (STATED IN COMMENT) 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.	REZE	CS rebut for and regarding for the preparation of t	eport of the othersil serion at details y on at rmation vities a psed of aps with are a moresi.	annot er fea rvice s on t Plant is al and th the core use	be identification of a certal sketce	Med of less called a state of less called a s	on the pho- onveyors, ored or of dd be valuated by new con- te material	togra stac peratuable natru al be
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS  5. Conflicts with previous information 6. Appears to be fabrication  SEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH: 1. More detail 2. Less detail, more summary 3. Less fragmentary approach 4. Better organization 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both. 6. (STATED IN COMMENT) 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.  EQUIREMENTS  ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT  ATTISFIES IT: 1. FULLY, REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.	REZE	CS rebut for and regarding for the preparation of t	eport of the othersil serion at details y on at rmation vities a psed of aps with are a moresi.	annot er fea rvice s on t Plant is al and th the core use	be identification of a certal sketce	Med of less called a state of less called a s	on the pho- onveyors, ored or of dd be valuated any new con- te material	togra stac peratuable natru al be
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS  5. Conflicts with previous information 6. Appears to be fabrication  SEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH: 1. More detail 2. Less detail, more summary 3. Less fragmentary approach 4. Better organization 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both. 6. (STATED IN COMMENT) 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.  EQUIREMENTS  ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT	REZE	CS rebut for and regarding for the preparation of t	eport of the othersil serion at details y on at rmation vities a psed of aps with are a moresi.	annot er fea rvice s on t Plant is al and th the core use	be identification of a certal sketce	Med of less called a state of less called a s	on the pho- onveyors, ored or of dd be valuated any new con- te material	togra stac peratuable natru al be
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS  5. Conflicts with previous information  6. Appears to be fabrication  SEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:  1. More detail  2. Less detail, more summary  3. Less fragmentary approach  4. Better organization  5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.  6. (STATED IN COMMENT)  7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.  EQUIREMENTS  DOLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT ATISFIES IT:  1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.  2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.  3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES.	REZE	CS rebut for and regarding for the preparation of t	eport of the othersil serion at details y on at rmation vities a psed of aps with are a moresi.	annot er fea rvice s on t Plant is al and th the core use	be identification of a certal sketce	Med of less called a state of less called a s	on the pho- onveyors, ored or of dd be valuated any new con- te material	togra stac peratuable natru al be
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS  5. Conflicts with previous information 6. Appears to be fabrication  SEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH: 1. More detail 2. Less detail, more summary 3. Less fragmentary approach 4. Better organization 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both. 6. (STATED IN COMMENT) 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.  EQUIREMENTS  ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT ATISFIES IT: 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. 2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.	REZE	CS rebut for and regarding for the preparation of t	eport of the othersil serion at details y on at rmation vities a psed of aps with are a moresi.	annot er fea rvice s on t Plant is al and th the core use	be identification of a certal sketce	Med of less called a state of less called a s	on the pho- onveyors, ored or of dd be valuated any new con- te material	togra stac peratuable natru al be
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS  5. Conflicts with previous information 6. Appears to be fabrication  SEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:  1. More detail 2. Less detail, more summary 3. Less fragmentary approach 4. Better organization 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both. 6. (STATED IN COMMENT) 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.  EQUIREMENTS  ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT ATISFIES IT: 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. 2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed. 3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES. 4. NEGLIGIBLY  EGREE TO WHICH OTHER (NON-CS) REPORTING ROVIDES ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ON SUBJECT:	REZE	CS rebut for and regarding for the preparation of t	eport of the othersil serion at details y on at rmation vities a psed of aps with are a moresi.	annot er fea rvice s on t Plant is al and th the core use	be identification of a certal sketce	Med of less called a state of less called a s	on the pho- onveyors, ored or of dd be valuated any new con- te material	togra stac peratuable natru al be
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS  5. Conflicts with previous information 6. Appears to be fabrication  SEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:  1. More detail 2. Less detail, more summary 3. Less fragmentary approach 4. Better organization 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both. 6. (STATED IN COMMENT) 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.  EQUIREMENTS  ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT ATISFIES IT: 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. 2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed. 3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES. 4. NEGLIGIBLY  EGREE TO WHICH OTHER (NON-CS) REPORTING ROVIDES ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ON SUBJECT: 1. FULLY 2. SUBSTANTIALLY	REZE	CS rebut for and regarding for the preparation of t	eport of the othersil serion at details y on at rmation vities a psed of aps with are a moresi.	annot er fea rvice s on t Plant is al and th the core use	be identification of a certal sketce	Med of less called a state of less called a s	on the pho- onveyors, ored or of dd be valuated any new con- te material	togra stac peratuable natru al be
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS  5. Conflicts with previous information 6. Appears to be fabrication  SEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:  1. More detail 2. Less detail, more summary 3. Less fragmentary approach 4. Better organization 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both. 6. (STATED IN COMMENT) 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.  EQUIREMENTS  ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT ATISFIES IT: 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. 2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed. 3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES. 4. NEGLIGIBLY  EGREE TO WHICH OTHER (NON-CS) REPORTING ROVIDES ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ON SUBJECT:	REZE	CS rebut for and regarding for the preparation of t	eport of the othersil serion at details y on at rmation vities a psed of aps with are a moresi.	annot er fea rvice s on t Plant is al and th the core use	be identification of a certal sketce	Med of less called a state of less called a s	on the pho- onveyors, ored or of dd be valuated any new con- te material	togra stac peratuable natru al be
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS  5. Conflicts with previous information 6. Appears to be fabrication  SEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:  1. More detail 2. Less detail, more summary 3. Less fragmentary approach 4. Better organization  5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.  6. (STATED IN COMMENT)  7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.  EQUIREMENTS  DNLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT ATTISFIES IT:  1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.  2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.  3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES.  4. NEGLIGIBLY  GREE TO WHICH OTHER (NON-CS) REPORTING ROVIDES ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ON SUBJECT:  1. FULLY 2. SUBSTANTIALLY 3. LITTLE	REZE	CS rebut for and regarding for the preparation of t	eport of the othersil serion at details y on at rmation vities a psed of aps with are a moresi.	annot er fea rvice s on t Plant is al and th the core use	be identification of a certal sketce	Med of less called a state of less called a s	on the pho- onveyors, ored or of dd be valuated any new con- te material	togra stac peratuable natru al be
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS  5. Conflicts with previous information 6. Appears to be fabrication  SEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH: 1. More detail 2. Less detail, more summary 3. Less fragmentary approach 4. Better organization 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both. 6. (STATED IN COMMENT) 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.  EQUIREMENTS  ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT AT 15F IES IT: 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. 2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed. 3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES. 4. NEGLIGIBLY  EGREE TO WHICH OTHER (NON-CS) REPORTING REVOIDES ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ON SUBJECT: 1. FULLY 2. SUBSTANTIALLY 3. LITTLE 4. NONE  FIN G YOU CHECKED "LITTLE" OR "NONE," AND	REZE	CS rebut for and regarding for the preparation of t	eport of the othersil serion at details y on at rmation vities a psed of aps with are a moresi.	annot er fea rvice s on t Plant is al and th the core use	be identification of a certal sketce	Med of less called a state of less called a s	on the pho- onveyors, ored or of dd be valuated any new con- te material	togra stac peratuable natru al be
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS  5. Conflicts with previous information 6. Appears to be fabrication  SEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH: 1. More detail 2. Less detail, more summary 3. Less fragmentary approach 4. Better organization 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both. 6. (STATED IN COMMENT) 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.  EQUIREMENTS  ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT ATISFIES IT: 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. 2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed. 3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES. 4. NEGLIGIBLY EGREE TO WHICH OTHER (NON-CS) REPORTING ROVIDES ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ON SUBJECT: 1. FULLY 2. SUBSTANTIALLY 3. LITTLE 4. NONE F IN G YOU CHECKED "LITTLE" OR "NONE," AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.") 1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS. 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.	REZE	CS rebut for and regarding for the preparation of t	eport of the othersia set	annot sr fea rvice s on t Plant is al and the ore use	be identification of a certal sketce	ried on as of less than the of	on the pho- conveyors, ored or of did be valuated not new con- te material the source the area of	togra stac peratuable natru al be
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS  5. Conflicts with previous information 6. Appears to be fabrication  SEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:  1. More detail 2. Less detail, more summary 3. Less fragmentary approach 4. Better organization  5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.  6. (STATED IN COMMENT)  7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.  EQUIREMENTS  DOLLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT ATTISFIES IT:  1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.  2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.  3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES.  4. NEGLIGIBLY  EGREE TO WHICH OTHER (NON-CS) REPORTING ROVIDES ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ON SUBJECT:  1. FULLY 2. SUBSTANTIALLY 3. LITTLE 4. NONE  FIN G YOU CHECKED "LITTLE" OR "NONE," AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT."  1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS.  2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIRE	REZE	CS rebut for and regarding for the preparation of t	eport of the othersia set	annot er fea rvice s on t Plant is al and th the core use	be identification of a certal sketce	ried on as of less than the of	on the pho- onveyors, ored or of dd be valuated any new con- te material	togra stac peratuable natru al be

## CONFIDENTIAL

(collector/eval base 2002/08/07 classify alternation)

## NOTES TO EVALUATOR

EXPERIMENT. This is an experimental form, intended to obtain your guidance with minimum effort on your part and with maximum speed. If you see a way to serve these ends better please describe it, either in the comments section or on an attached sheet.

<u>PURPOSE</u>. This evaluation request is part of a determined effort to concentrate collection and reporting on what is most valuable to you. Because you are the best judge of value to you, your cooperation is essential.

SPEED. The sooner your guidance gets to those who need it, the sooner it can influence what you receive. So please do the job promptly -- if possible as soon as you have read the report. (See also "CLASSIFICATION . . . " and ". . . EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS.")

CLASSIFICATION AND CONTROLS. Please classify your evaluation independently of the classification of the report. If the report comes from a foreign liaison service and if you think part of your comment should not be passed back to that service, please indicate the portion that should be withheld.

FRANKNESS. We invite candid criticism, particularly when it is constructive. At stake here are national intelligence needs, taxpayers' money, and a collection mechanism with limited resources. None of these interests is served by too much concern with politeness or too little concern with faults.

ITALICS. On items printed in italics, an "X" by itself is very nearly useless; whenever possible, some explanation should be given. If you are in doubt as to what to comment upon, the following notes should help. Please tag each comment with the letter of the topic.

- A. If the subject is of greater interest to another component of your department or office, please refer this request there. If you rate the subject of major importance, please indicate whether the importance is temporary (to meet a deadline or complete a study) or continuing (because of relevance to major departmental or national intelligence needs), and briefly explain the nature of the need. If the subject of the report as stated does not clearly delineate the subject you have in mind, please restate the subject as you see it.
- C. If you consider the information doubtful or false, please briefly state basis for your opinion, unless "X" and comment on one of the last two items in D adequately states the case.
- D. <u>Sufficiently known</u>. If you can fairly easily say whether previous reports were by Foreign Service/Army/Navy/Air/other components of this Agency/US press/foreign press, please do so.

Conflicts. If this information conflicts with other information, please indicate nature of conflict, and, if possible, give origin of previous information.

Appears to be fabrication. Please explain.

E. More detail/less detail/fragmentary. Please suggest the type of information that should have been omitted or included.

Better observation or exploitation. Please briefly explain basis for your opinion.

- F. If report partially satisfies your requirement, please identify the part, so that effort can be redirected to do you more good.
- H. The post-audit provided by candid evaluations, while most useful for current guidance, is less effective for planning. In planning for the PURPOSE stated above, we need requirements, not only for spot queries or gaps, but for major continuing needs. In view of intensified efforts to reduce marginal reporting, the analyst should not assume that his unstated needs will be recognized and that reporting to which he has become accustomed will continue indefinitely. If you have a priority need for information that is obtainable only by clandestine means, present a requirement for it.

COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS; EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS. In addition to an "X" in each appropriate section, explanation of your use of italicized items, and answers to "Collector's Questions," if any, we shall welcome comments on the substance of the report. In the interest of conserving your time, however, it may be well to remember that this evaluation will go not to a fellow analyst but to specialized collectors who need your guidance in collecting what -- and only what -- you most need.

If you need more space, use plain paper and staple it to this sheet.